AN ATTEMPT TO RATIFY THE CONSTRUCT

of

EXTRAVERSION_INTROVERSION THROUGH A TEST OF TYPE_ATTITUDE PREFERENCE, AND ON THE TEST CRITERIA

٥Î

VERBAL AND NUMERICAL ABILITIES

(The dissertation submitted for the partial fulfilment of a course in Research Methodology)

SHTAM SUNDER MISRA

Department of Psychological Foundations

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION,

N C E R T

1965.

The present work is dedicated with a profound respect and sense of love

To

Dr. Shib K. Mitra

whose wisdom, intellect and personality
have impressed me so greatly as
he appears me now an enviso
"ego-ideal".

AQHERNE

		Page
	Prefere	
I.	Introduction	1 - 11
II.	The Problem & Theoretical Setting	12 - 18
III.	Plan of Study and Mathodology	19 - 27
IV.	Analysis and Interpretation of Data	28 - 36
٧.	Conclusions	37 - 41
- •	Sammary	42 - 48
	Mbliography	44 - 45
	ž marinilė ar	

	•	

Profee

The principles of psychology are day by day increasingly applied in various fileds of human life. Education as technology is itself mainly built on the foundations of psychological theory. However, both psychology and pedagogy are mutually interdependent since it is yet to be investigated whether psychological phenomena are vicariously affected by training and experience and, on the other hand, how far wisdom of psychology might be serviceable towards more and more perfection of instructional methods. Hence, if we need to built up a fine multi-stories temple of education we must realise, most evidently, that it can only be structured on deep and strong foundations of psychological theory. It is notable that the educational methods and techniques are, now-a-days, most preminently focusaing their interest on the consideration of the overt and govert individual differences. As such, the present study is basically depoted to a contraversial theoretical issue of individual differences.

The burning problem before pedagogy is to change the ray individuals of flock and home into responsible human beings. However, it can be proved incompetent on the matters of hielegical equipments received by an individual through the process of heredity. However, theleas, the success of pedagogy depends in channelining its procedures and mobilizing its techniques for the noble cause of human development, which is dependent, in turn, on the knowledge and understanding of adjustmental processes in various directions.

of life. The job becomes too easy if it is confirmed that the most of the human development is nothing but the very plain adjustment with the environmental forces working on the human organism.

The writer of this dissertation is highly indebted to Dr. N.N. Sen, Reader in Psychology, for his able guidance and supervision in this study. He expresses his gratitudes to Mr. R.K. Mathur for his keen interest in the problem and for the statistical guidance, and to Mr. S.L. Cajwani for his time to time suggestions and guidance. The writer is such thankful to Dr. Harold Mebster, Dr.A.B.L. Srivstava and Mrs. S. Shakla for their keen criticisms and suggestions at the time of project conference which led the present form of the study. He is really grateful to the Principal, Multan D.A.V. Hr. Sec. School, Nest Fatel Magar, who wery kindly allowed the investigator to have the experimentation in his institution, and to all teachers and students of the aforesaid school who scoperated respectively as invigilators and subjects.

Delhi : May 6, 1965. Shyan S. Mara

INTRODUCTION

From very beginning of humanity, the God given potentiality of differentiation led the thinking personages towards the discovery of "individual differences." The primitive man saw supernatural powers in abnormal phenomena of life like illusion, delusion, hallucination, hysteric and paramoid characteristics and exceptional physical and mental potentialities. The Indian concept of God's incarination to an epoch making human individual can be exemplified as the rational adaptation in the field of philosphy and religion. However, in every divilisation of the world exceptional persons equipped with tremendous energy or one or more specific high socially approved personality attributes and potentialities were regarded either Angels, sons of God, Prophets or unique product of noble heredity, lucky persons by chance, and the effective boon blessed by the noble deeds of previous lives.

The explicit recognition of individual differences, as the earliest instance, can be found in the writings of Plato (1, p. 60). In his opinion every individual is born different to others in his natural endoments and as such is capable for only specific types of occupations. Aristotle (2) also attributed such differences, however partially, to the innate factors.

^{1.} J.L. Davies and D.J. Vaughan, (Transl.). The Republic of Flato. New York: Burt, 19 -.

^{2.} W.D. Rose, (Ed.). The works of Aristotle. Vol. Ix, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1916.

Among modern thinkers, Bain (5) gave some attention to individual difference in his writings. According to him, "there is a natural force of adhesiveness, specific to each constitution, and distinguishing one individual from another." (p.287). He considers this property to be unequally distributed. On his empirical findings of inferior sensitivity among idiots, Galton (4, p. 29) concluded that sensory discriminative capacity would, on the whole, be highest among the intellectually ablest.

Stern (5), as a psychologist, published his most systematic treatise in which the problem, nature and methods of differential psychology have been dealt with. Differences between individuals, racial and cultural groups, occupational and social levels and sexes were discussed. The problem has been attributed threefold: (a) nature and extent of differences in the psychological life of individuals and groups; (b) factors determining or affecting these differences; and (c) manifestation of differences. Heredity, climate, social and cultural levels, training, adaptations etc. were attributed to the development of individual differences. He also suggested ways of measuring such differences by means of introspective reports, objective observation, creative products, biography, cultural studies, quantititative testing and experiment.

^{5.} A. Bein, The Senses and the intellect. London: Parker, 1855,

^{4.} F. Galton, Inquiries into human faculty and its development.
London: Macmillan, 1883.

^{5.} W. Stern, Wher Psychologie der individuellen ... Differensen. Leipzig : Barth, 1900.

The emphical psychology of the present century took this problem very enthusiastically. The application of psychological principles has been made in various practical fields of human affairs which enhances interests of many people toward individual differences and attracted to endeavour with creative ability and contributions in this field. The major objectives of differential psychology have been put as follows:

"What is the nature and extent of such differences? What can be discovered as to their causes? How are the differences affected by training, growth, physical conditions? In what manner are the differences in various traits related to one another, or organized?" (6, p.4)

However, none of the above questions are yet solved nor there seems any trend in the proper direction to solve such theoretical issues. The so called applied psychologists are satisfied with their trivial success in their respective fields and are least worried about the theoretical issues which, perhaps in their opinion, are solvable by the majority votes of so called investigations. Webster (7, p.1-2) seems to be a victim of this effect in his controversial statement about a need to improve education, perhaps, without any need of understanding the educational process. The host of psychological literature and tremendous number of investigations — which are coming due to plenty of funds in the hands of immature minds having least aptitude in research, having deep rooted behaviourism in their minds, being

^{6.} A. Anastasi, & J.P. Foley. <u>Differential Psychology</u>. New York to The Macmillan Cq. 1956.

^{7.} H. Webster, Planning Educational research. (Unpublished).



keen observer only for "stimulus" and "response", restricting themselves to the problem of "existence" and "non-existence" of scmething or other, having their own unique concept of "psychology" - are creating an unsolvable controversy and an awkward situation toward the operations of the proper task and intellectual prestige for a few sincers, honest and insightful workers in the field.

Understanding, prediction and control are called the main objectives of science (3, p. 99), and "the sole purpose of science is to understand the world in which man lives." (9, p.7). If this is so, these things are possible to achieve only by the means of knowledge about some fundamental laws of the phenomena concerned. I do not think a science is possible merely on the basis of facts omitting the significance of laws and theory at all.

Every science is accuming the lawfulness of nature : "we assume that the closer two events in time, space, and measured value on any or all dimensions, the more they tend to follow the same laws," (10, p. 187). "Without laws or generalisation, no explanation is possible. The mere accumulation of individual facts bring no explanation, hence neither understanding nor control." (11, p.56). Since a science is only possible on the assumption of the lawfulness of nature the doctrine of individual differences — so far as its biological emphasis and imphasis on distinctive individual processes are concerned — seems contradictory

^{8.} N.T. Cage, Handbook of research on teaching. Chicago: Rand McMally and Co., 1968.

^{9.} W.J. Goode, & P.K. Rett, Methods in Social research. New York: McGrew Hill Book Go., Inc., 1952.

^{10.} D.L. Campbell, & J.C. Stanley, Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Besigns for Research on Teaching, In N.L. Caggle (Ed.) Hand-book of Research on Teaching, Chicago: RandMcMally & Co., 1965.

The Skilling. Chicago: RandMaHally & Co., 1965.

11. May Brodbeck, Logic and Scientific Method in Research on Teaching, p. 44-95.
In H.L. Gage's (Ed.) Handbook of Assessed on teaching.

in itself to become a science. It is ridiculous to see that, on the one hand, psychology believes on adjustment and maladjustment, fixation and conditioning, as the main forces in the construction of personality, on the other hand, ignoring altogether any lawfulness of nature, it has faiths in innate individual differences in the psychological process.

The doctrines of "individual differences" may be categorized under three main heads :

- (1) Those pleading for innate differences in processes and biological differences in normally born persons.
- (2) Those emphasizing the different organisations of the processes in different persons.
- (5) Those assuming adaptations in environmental situations.

If we treat first category to be true, different psychology is needed for different individuals and generalization and law derivation is not possible due to millions of noticeable differences with those persons. Although it is true that different persons have different heredity i.e. biological equipments, the fundamental processes might have been the same for the development and evolution of the tiny drops of organismic secretions into human beings. The whole humanity has come, probably from a common parenthood. If we assume no inheritance of acquired characteristics then how would possible thele be any significant difference among offsprings of the same parentage. Conversely, if we assume inheritance of acquired characteristics, any emphasis on innate biological and psychological equipments is misleading and absurd since these are modifiable in the course of development and evalution. Moreover, even if the idea of a common parenthood is not accepted there must not be much individual differences since the host of beings who evolved as first humans on this earth

might have not been so different from each other as we see today the human beings are. It is noticeable that the primitive men still do not differ so much among themselves as the people we usually find in highly complicated social structures of the modern world.

Another doctrine under this head, popular in Soviet Russia relying on the studies by Favlov on dogs, is concerned with the type of nervous system which lead to different personality patterns in different people (see 12). Williams (15) also reported biochemical, anatomical and physiological differences between individuals. However, it is not known how far these differences cause the differences in behavioural patterns and whether or not these biological differences have occurred due to different manners in utilization of different organs by different individuals. As it is known that continuous exercise may change the muscles of the body, some deep emotional occurrences may disturb the whole system both functionally and anatomically, it might be possible that the repeated stimulation from the similar kind of situations and the similar type of reactive responses have caused changes in the nervous tissues and as such individual differences in physiological mechanisms are distinguished.

Another view, in this respect, argues that since there are individual differences in strength, mobility and balance (see 12, p.26) of narrous system type—theory can be based on these lines. Such characteristics are given by heredity and/or under the control of the endocrine glands(14,p.40).

^{12.} B.M. Teploy, Typelogical Properties of the Nervous System and their Psychological Manifestations, p.21.51. In N.O'Connor's (Ed), Recent Soviet Develops, Oxford; Pergamon Press, 1961.

^{13.} R.J. Williams, <u>Biochemoical individuality: The basis for the genetatropide</u> concept. New York: Wiley, 1956.

^{14.} D.M. Johnson, Psychology: a problem-solving approach. New York: Harper & Bros. 1961.

	·	

It is not known whether the extreme cases of such differences remain fixed in that extreme throughout the whole life or have periodic changes: whether they are fixed in that extreme for all of their behaviour or react in the other extreme for some specific behaviours; whether this is the natural way of their adjustment or such persons are maladjusted. We cannot declare significant differences in processes and mechanisms on the basis of overt behaviour. Nearly the same behaviour might appear in different individuals but the underlying processes for elucidation of such a behaviour might be quite different. Moreover, if each of these factors (strength, mobility, balance etc.) are a single dimension these must be distributed normally among the people in which a small percentage will go to extremes. However, these extreme cases are not controversial to the main factor being themselves the part of it and as such this does not greate any dichotomy or multipartite. Rather to call different extremes of a single conimous factor by the name of different factore is erroneous.

The second category of organisations of processes is supported both by Soviet psychologists (see 12, p.26) and Westerners. Pavlov understood types of nervous activity as "different combinations of the basic properties of the nervous system" (16, p. 267) Western psychologists found individual differences in higher functions while in simple fundamental processes differences are least significant (15, p. 99-100). For Anastari (17, p. 23) "differences among people are a matter of degree".

^{15.} F.S. Freeman, Theory and practice of psychological testing. New York: Holt, Rivebart & Winston, Ind., 1960.

^{16.} I.P. Favlay, (1961-52) Foln. Sobr. Foch (Complete Works) III, Mr 2,

^{12.} A. Anastasi, Differential payahology New York: Macmillan Co., 1958.



These views seem futile on the considerations we have already made above. Such organizations of simple fundamental properties or processes cannot be treated the root cause of typology. Combination of basic processes into different organizations occurs differently not only in different persons but differently in the same given person on various occasions. On such a basis crude and initial discrimination of human beings is misleading and harmful. However, as a student of psychology our basic interest should be in knowing such fundamental properties or processes and the manner in which they become organized.

The thoughts of third category do not come under the real concept of "individual differences". Adjustment in the environment depends on some basic laws, subjective perception or psychological field, and the kind and intensity of stimulus situations. Since situations are generally different and a single situation might be different for different persons or different for the same person at different occasions, the differential behaviour is but natural. Maintenance of any concept of "individual differences" on the basis of such a differential behaviour is not justified. Rather this problem area should be the chief centre of attack for the maintenance of general psychology as a science. It is in the course of time that habit and conditioning give adaptations to some specific type of responses in specific type of situations and as such differences in behavioural patterns are noticeable for different individuals.

The methods of investigation for the individual differences have been relying mostly on the standardized examinations (so called mental tests) with emphasis on generalization and statistical manipulation. Making on the theory of sampling (in contrast to physical sciences where any part of a specific material content is regarded as the representative

of its whole population) one person is not regarded the representative for the whole humanity; this system, perhaps, does not seem to believe on the basic laws, processes and theory of human behaviour. Hence this system is searching the black out in the dark-room without any a prioritable for investigation and recearch.

Application of psychology in various fields of life has been done without a full knowledge of fundamental characteristics of human nature and development. In fact, application is also necessary being another methods of scientific investigation and discovery. The task of basic researches is to investigate into the fundamental properties or processes but the applied researchers are solely responsible to see whether such findings are exact, workable and generalizable. As we see, applied physical sciences and technologies have stood on the base of nhysical sciences like physics and chemistry; medicine is based on physiology, biochemistry etc. But applied psycholgies wish to establish carries least for general psychological principles. This is the nein reason the applied psychologists are searching in the dark and satisfying themselves on futile success by means of generalizations on the basis of sampling theory. The early experimental psychologists were known to their responsibilities of establishing psychology as exact science. They either ignored individual differences or accepted them as a necessary evil for the scientific cause (18, p.6). Their main interest remained with the fundamental processes and as such in the topics of related fields also determining those processes like physiology. They studied the problems of senaction, paychophysical relationships and the working of nervous

^{18.} A. Anastasi, Payabological testing, New York : The Nacmillan Go., 1961.

system. "Wandt's hardheaded experimentalism was expressed in perhaps more exaggerated form by Titchener. He not only held that psychology must be experimental; he held that it must also be pure. Applied science seemed to Titchener a contradiction" (19, p. 69). Fortunately, the psychologists from European continent and more specifically from Soviet Mussia have kept in themselves with this tradition. Teploy (20) gives his views, in connection to the scientific policy for the study of individual differences. as follows:

*Successes in the study of individual psychological differences depend to a considerable degree on the development of general psychological theory, and above all, of course, on the development of general problems in the psychology of personality, but they will also depend on the oreation of a system of psychology which will eliminate the gap which now exists between the two parts of this science, namely the psychology of psychic processes and the psychology of personality (p.22)**. "Our task is to find means for analysis of the properties of the 'soil' and to demonstrate how these properties affect the 'growth of the plant'. This cannot be replaced by a discription of the flora of the area and classification of the vegeta-tional landscapes* (p.33)

Upto this time the writer has dealt with the problem of "individual differences" in broad fields which also covers the problem of introversion—extraversion as one specific. However, this problem is due to be

^{19.} M.H. Marr, and W.A. Hillix, Systems and theories in Devolocar, New York : McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1985.

^{20.} B.M. Teploy, Typological Properties of the Nervous System and Their Paychological Manifestations. In O'Connors' Bacent Soviet a metalogy. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1961.

discussed in the next chapter when the writer will deal with the problem of investigation.

THE PROBLEM AND
THEORETICAL
SETTI-NG

The recognition of "individual differences" is not new but this becomes meaningful when some significance is attached to it. Since uncommon cases are easy to comprehend in the society, specific meanings are attached to such uncommon events, persons or attributes by way of making proverbs and phrashs; also generalizations are made on the basis of subjective observations by the fellowmen. The scientists also could not keep themselves aloof from this lustre of predicting personality attributes on the basis of some common or uncommon bodily or behavioural characteristics. Like many other proponents of typology Jung (1) also advocated a possibility of two basic attitudes in the psychological behaviour of human individuals. In his view when ego has an attitude to face the outer world it is extraverted: when it has an attitude to fees the inner world it is intorverted (2. p.57). These two attitudes are strikingly in contrast to each other, however, both attitudes are present in a normal person. The type-attitude clearly predominates; superior functions are determined by the type-attitude while inferior functions remain in the service of the apposite one. (1, p.426)

^{1.} C.G. Jung. Psychological types. London : Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1925.

^{2.} Michael Fordham. New developments in analytical psychology. London : Reutledge & Kegen Paul, 1957.

psychologists all over the world began to use his terminology; the concept of extravension-introversion has been extended to many directions linking with physiological processes and morphology, with perceptual and cognitive behaviour, with socio-cultural phenomena and with physical and psychopethological disorders. Some personologists, particularly in America, become engaged in devising paper and pencil tests for the quantitative measurement of these tendencies. The term was defined and redefined and various theoretical explanations were made by psychologists like Conklin, Freyd, McDougall, White; Tansley, Mingham, Murray, Eysenck etc.

The early attempts to demonstrate these relationships became futile and the investigators began to doubt the validity of the construct. It was found that introversion questionnaires assentially measured the same attribute which were measured under the head of "neuroticism" (5). The factorial studies of these questionnaires revealed a general factor corresponding "a genuine maladjusted—psychoneurotic-introverted tendency" (4). However, this faulty relationship became prevalent, perhaps as Collier and Eimeh (5) indicated, due to the fact that most of the questionnaire constructors

^{5.} R.G. Bernreuter. The Rebrication of Tests of Introversion-Extraversion and Neurotis Tendency. J. Soc. Psychol., 1954, 5, 184-201

^{4.} P.E. Vernon. The aspessment of psychological qualities by verbal methods. London: H.M. Stationery Office, 1958.

^{5.} R. Collier and M.Ench. Introversion-Extraversion: The Concepts and Their Clinical Use. Amer. J. Psychiat., 1988, 94, 1045-45

,		

used Freudian (6) concept of introversion and also, since extraversion and sociability were completely identified with each other. (7, p.52-55). Another doubt arised about the unidimentionality of extraversion — introversion since correlations between various measures of the so-called dimensions were found very low. Marray (8) states:

"Ten years work and reflection have led me to the conclusion...
...... that Jung has subserved under the term 'extraversion and under
the term 'introversion' a number of variables which are not always
correlated In short, as other have concluded, it seems that
extraversion and introversion are not unitary variables" (p. 258-259)".
We should suggest that if extraversion and introversion are used as
variables they should be treated separately, not considered to form a
single continuum" (p.242).

Cattell (9) insisted that extraversion introversion was nothing more than a broad cluster of related trait elements and as such not a very useful construct. He became very extramist when he suggested that

*It is perhaps worthwhile to make a determined attempt to rescue the lable *extravert-ve-introvert* from the scientific descepts and uselessness into which it has fallen through popular adoption."

^{6.} Sigmund Freud. General introduction to psychomanalysis. New York Liveright, 1920.

^{7.} H.J. Eysenck, Dimensions of personality, London, Routledge and Kegan Paul., 1947.

^{8.} H.A. Mirray. Explorations in personality. New York: Oxford University Press, 1988.

^{9.} R.B. Cattell. Personality and motivation structure and measurement.

Ichkers, N.I.: World Book, 1987.

Hevertheless, in a review of factorial studies, Eysenck (10) observed that although "the picture is not as clear as one might wish..... its main outlines are becoming more and more definite."

He supported the position of unidimintionality of the construct. In opposition to Cattell's (9) view of the environmental origin of extraversion-introversion, Eysenck (11) opines that the heredity plays the major role. A recent reviewer (12) after discussing the results of factorial studies of extraversion-introversion published since the time of previous review by Eysenck in 1953, concludes:

"The present review was prompted by the recent burgeoning of interest in extraversion-interversion, and by the fact that current assumptions about the unidimentionality of the construct, and its independence for adjustment, cannot be justified in terms of the research covered by the last comprehensive review. An examination of more recent research has shown the evidence on both issues to be equivocal, and the status of extraversion-introversion as a dimension of personality thus remains somewhat termous." (p.557).

The present writer does not want to go into the controversy of the origin of extraversion-introversion as hereditary or environmental factor. He has already expressed his view in the previous chapter on this matter. However, the writer thinks the construct of

^{10.} H.J. Eysenck. The structure of human personality. London: Metheum, 1955.

^{11.} H.J. Rysenek. The Inheritance of Extraversion-Introversion.

Acta Psychol. Amsterdam; 1956, 12, 95-100.

^{12.} P.M. Carrigan. Extraversion-Introversion as a Dimension of Personality: A Reappraisal. Psychol. bull., 1960, 57, 529-560.

	•		

extraversion-interversion as one of the most important constructs, since this seems to him the organization of basic properties or processes of psyche. The writer is certainly interested to know the conditions under which such an organization takes place with its significance as motivational strength for the manifestation of requisite behavioural patterns. He is interested to know how far this helps in the adjustment to the environmental conditions and in the adaptations in various fields of human affairs. To his interest is the problem that whether these two traits of extraversion and introversion belong to the two consists extremes of the distribution of an unitary function or this is within the capacity of certain people to face outer world as well as inner by the same vital force channelising in the required direction according to the conditions thereon. In other words, if it is continuously found on an appropriate scale of extraversion-introversion that majority of people fall in the middle way - i.e. as both extraverted and introverted - there seems no dichotomy as such and Jung's view that one type-attitude clearly predominates is refuted. The frequency distribution of such observations must be bimodal. The high frequencies at the middle of an unimodal normal distribution shall indicate that the same vital force might be directed both way to adjust with the outer as well as inner world. Moreover, if there is a fixation of ego to be so prompt either with the outer or inner world we should go into the proper enquiry of the characteristic behaviours exentually occurring due to such a fixation. These characteristic criteria were put forth by Jung (18, p. 412 - 517) and were editively summarized by

^{15.} G.G. Jung. Psychological types . London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1925.

Marray (14, p.282-244).

Since it is described that the introverted is basically of imaginative nature and thinking type keen in having insight in planning and in presenting novel ideas and theories, it has been hypothesized that these attributes should be highly correlated with the capacity to reason and problem solving. As such, manerical reasoning has been decided a better criterion for the manifestation of introverted tendencies. Also, since extraverted has been described as the person with powers of good expression and sociability and keen on taking advantages in social affairs it is assumed that he must have been richer in his language endowments. Therefore, verbal ability has been selected as the most suitable criterion for extraversion.

Most of questionnaires prepared for extraversion-introversion are either "true-false" or "yeshno" type. This might have been the main cause of discrepancies, contrary to our assumptions, among distribution of squres on these two dimensions, since the subjects had every liberty in these questionnaires to check items, however, qualifying their inferior functions which are theoretically regarded to be dominated by the opposite type-attitude. Nevertheless, if with the provision of selecting the preferntial type-attitude emong the two statements in a parallel-item extraversion-introversion scale leads to the same results of unimodal normal distribution with majority of cases in the middle the idea of type-attitude is almost shaken.

In view of the above discussion the problem formulated for investigation can be specified as "an attempt to ratify the construct

^{14.} H.A., Murray. Explorations in personality. New York: Oxford University Press; 1938.

of extraversion-introversion through a test of type-attitude

preference, and on the test criteria of verbal and numerical abilities.*

Evidently, two hypotheses may tentatively be framed for testing:

First, if either of the two type-attitudes clearly predominates on the given opportunity to check on a parallel-item extraversion-introversion scale then there appears every possibility of a bimodal frequency distribution of scores. Conversely, if the scores are such distributed so that majority of subjects fall in the mid-scores the idea of clear predominance of either type-attitude seems futile and the construct of extraversion-introversion as such seems repudiated.

Second, notwithstanding the first, if extraversion and introversion are significantly distinguished respectively on the test criterion of verbal and numerical ability then the underlying dispositions seem undoubtable. In other words, if the extravertive and introvertive tendencies seem equally correlated on both criteria or seem uncorrelated with their respective criterion the underlying dispositions are doesed and the construct is obscure.

PLAN OF STUDY

AND METHODOLOGY

The necessary prerequisite for planning a research study on the problem discussed in the previous chapter is to know in clear terms what we do want to find out. For this the main interrogation marks can be presented as the fellowing: Does there seem any authenticity in the construct of extraversion-introversion, as such defined by Jung (1), to be a real psychological propensity? Does, actually, either of the two tendencies clearly predominates as a behavioural disposition in every individual? Whether these two tendencies can be put on a continuous scale and distribution or seem quite independent and exclusive entities? Do they seem contrary to each other so that presence of one is restricting the presence of other for some specific functionalism? How far extraversion and introversion are positively correlated to the verbal ability and memerical reasoning respectively? Does there appear any significant differences in the test scores of verbal ability or numerical reasoning for the subjects sategorized as extraverted or introverted?

In the process of gathering walld evidences to ensuer the above questions the following steps have been taken:

Selection of subjects

For each step it seemed inevitable to control or at least minimise the effect of extraneous variables. Since, spart from

^{1.} C.G. Jung. Psychological types. London : Routledge and Kegan Paul; 1925.

·	

the effect of extraversion-introversion on the scores of numerical reasoning and verbal ability, other variables like specialized training. school factor, grade, sex, etc. might significantly influence the subjects' performances it seemed necessary to control such factors. With this idea in mind the students of class IX only from one boy's school in Delhi were selected as the sample. In all, only eighty students of Multan D.A.V. Higher Secondary School, Vest Patel Nagar could take part as subjects in all the tests which were administered on them. Since the tests were administered in the end of the academic session and just before the final examinations only a part of students were affending the school. Out of 160 students on roll in class IX only 104 were present in the first session of test design. administration and 110 in the second session but only 80 students could take all the tests. Of three sections of class IX section A consists of Arts students and section B and C having the Science students, Thirty Arts students from section A and twenty-eight plus twenty-two students from science side, respectively taken from section B and C, were consisting our sample of eighty students.

Selection of tools

we were in need of those tools which could measure quantitatively the verbal ability, mumerical reasoning and extraversive—introversive ten encies in consideration with the age and grade of the subjects. For the measurement of verbal ability tests of word relationship (Sambendha-Mirdharana Parikshana), and word meaning (Shabdartha Juyana Parikshana), prepared by the Department of Payahological Foundations, National Institute of Education, Delhi,

were selected for our purpose. The Sambandha-Nirdharana Farikahana
is a forty-item verbal anology test in Hindi in which emphasis has
been made on both vecabulary and its proper use as well as on the
exact word relationships. In other words this test may be called
a test of word-fluency. The subject has to fill-up the blank in
exact relationship, as exemplified in the sentence, with a given
word. Four types of sentences are presented differing in the placing
of blanks in every possibility. The Shabdartha Inverse Parikshana
consists of fifty items each with five choices to select the appropriate
meaning of the given word. The distractors are chosen as near in
the meaning and sense to the given word as possible. This test is
purely a test of vecabulary.

Since none of the two tests has been standardized, validated and made reliable these tests were simply regarded as objective examinations inclined to measure the abilities, as described above in concern to each of them. To measure the mamerical abilities and the extent of extraversion interoversion no test in Hindi, suitable for class IX, has been found. Therefore, an attempt was made to prepare these tests.

Construction of Tools

Preparation of extraversion-introversion scale has been started with a comprehensive study of the description of criteria. (Told., p. 412-517). A bundred item questionmetre inclusive of nearly all the criteria given by Jung has been prepared. Each item contains two statements of opposite nature suiting

extraversive and introversive tendencies and representing all broad categories of thinking, feeling, sensation and intuition on both conscious and unconscious attitudes. All hundred items were discussed with Dr. N.N. Sen and alterations were made where found necessary. Finally, thirty items were found suitable considering the factors of age, sex, language, and psychological development of the subjects and therefore selected for our purpose. The number of items were restricted to thirty also considering the time to be given for the test. The instructions for this self-rating questionnaire were to check that statement in each item which aptly applies in case of the subject taking the test.

As stated in the previous chapter, the investigator has merely been interested in presenting two parallel situations (here statements) qualifying respectively extravertive and introvertive tendencies for preferential selectivity by the subject. Since these criteria have been adopted from Jung and since our main purpose is to ratify these criteria any procedure in test construction like item-analysis, standardization, validity and reliability has not been thought necessary to work out. These items were just regarded as stimulus variables in any experimental situation for inducement of certain specific sets of response.

The second test which has been prepared is a test of "mathematical ability as related to reasoning and use of symbols". This
has been constructed with the idea of inducing thinking and problem
solving attributes of the mind. In all, nearly forty items were constructed

out of which only twenty were retained, finally, considering the length of test in respect of time and fatigue factors. Each set of the elements, for which descriptions are made as below, consisted of nearly three items in the test:

1. Mumber Series is a free-enswer test in which missing term in the series is to be supplied. Sample item:

Complete the series by replacing the asterisks by appropriate numbers :

2. Statement to Symbol Translation consists of items in which the problem is to choose from four given alternatives, the one that correctly translates the verbal statements into an algebraic expression.

Sample item:

The oranges are sold a paiss and banamas b paisa per piece. If somebody pays a paise for 2 oranges and 5 banamas which of the following equation will be satisfied:

5. Oddities is essentially a series type test. Sample item:

4. Missing Number is a simple number-operation test. The test item is an incomplete solution which is to be completed by applying the missing numbers. Sample item:

Replace the asterisks by numbers considering the logical significance of the solution:

5. Missing Sign is a companion test to missing number. The task is to supply the mathematical sign that will make an incomplete equation true. Samula item:

Replace the asterisks by the appropriate mathematical sign :

6. Simple problems for computation in which computational skill and reasoning are involved. Sample item:

Calculate the average squire root of the following numbers upto two decimal places :

9 , 11, 20, 36, 51, 49, 25, 16, 14, 18,

Anguer	ar and a little and the analysis	
market and the first		

7. Use of formulae in which the appropriate formula is to be selected, out of five given choices, as required for the solution of a given problem. Sample item:

Which of the following formulae would be useful in simplifying $\frac{(2.80)^2-(1.20)^2}{2}$

- A. $(a+b)^2+(a-b)^2=2a^2+2b^2$
- B. $(a+b)^2-(a-b)^2=4ab$
- $0. (a+b)(a-b) = a^2-b^2$
- D. (a+b)2= 42+b2+2ab
- E. (a-b)2m a2+b2-2ab

Answer	

This test has also been used as a simple objective examination. The main purpose has been to have a rough estimate of mathematical ability, seeing the limitations of time and facilities. It is notable that in all of the items the influence of courses taught according to syllabus is eliminated as far as possible. Such stimulus situations are presented which require thinking, reasoning and problem solving capacity successfully to deal with. Bather subjects are enforced to think and find out a solution out of their own mind. Moreover, the prerequisite of mathematical knowledge and its application as such has been minimized to its best.

Administration of Tests

The tests of extraversion introversion and mathematical ability were administered in one session while the tests of word

relationships and word meaning were administered in another session, with a gap of nearly one week. These testswere given in the usual classrooms duly invigilated by the respective teachers assigned to those classes in the achool routine. The tests were administered in the beginning periods to avoid strain, fatigue and disinterest on the part of subjects. No verbal instructions were given to the students except when they felt difficulty, however, sometimes they were introduced a little about the task to be done. The written instructions inclusive of praper examples were provided with each test, excepting the test of mathematical ability where instructions were given without any example of solved problems. This was done to stimulate their own thinking. Exemplification, in this case, would have been rather pulled down the purpose and utility of the test.

Scoring Procedures

Separate scores were obtained for both extraversive and introversive tendencies. For checking one item one mark had been allotted and total scores for each subject were obtained for extraversion as well as for introversion. Since each subject had to check either of the statements pertaining to extraversion and introversion the correlation coefficient between these two variables remained minus one. A few subjects emitted some items which were later on randomly adjusted by the examiner. The maximum marks for each subject in this test had been thirty, since the test consisted of thirty items.

The test of mathematical ability had twenty items in all.

One mark had been allotted for one correct item; maximum marks being twenty. Since some items need answers at more than one place a

credit of one-half mark was given for partly correct solutions. A zero mark had been scored for incorrect or omitted items. Total scores for all twenty items were collected for each subject.

In the scoring of the test of word relationship and word meaning one mark had been allotted for each correct item and zero for incorrect or omitted item. In this way, total marks were separately obtained on both 40-item Sambandha-Nirdharan Parikshana and 50-item Sabdartha Invana Parikshana for each individual. The maximum marks in these tests had been respectively forty and fifty.

The remaining discussion about statistical treatment is being postponed for the next chapter which is absolutely reserved for analysis and interpretation of data.

ANALYSIS AND
INTERPRETATION OF
DATA

Plan of the study and methods of collecting date have been dealt within the previous chapter. The present chapter is restricted to the statistical treatment of the collected data and the interpretations of results. The statistical techniques are to be sought out in respect of the typotheses framed in the chapter two.

Since, it has been hypothesized that if the frequency distribution of total scores on a parallel-item extraversion—introversion scale tends toward bimodality, then and only then, it can be said that the construct of extraversion—interversion has some real significance. For this a frequency polygon or a histogram is required to be drawn out. Any of the two graphic representations can easily give an idea about the modal tendency of the distribution. However, sometimes it might be difficult to justify the apparent or obtained modal tendency since chances of error are inevitable. Therefore, it is hypothesized that if, actually, there is a complete dichotomy in these two constructs of extraversion and introversion and, consequently, the frequencies at two significant levels are ladden, ideally speaking (in terms of expectation), there must be significant difference between the

loadings of extreversion and introversion preferences. Hence, our mull hypothesis is

where, Head is the mean of difference between extraversion and introversion scores. Parametric and non-parametric tests of goodness of fit seem much more useful to justify the tendency of observed distribution in terms of normal distribution.

The presentation of stimulus situations (i.e. items in our case) might affect the results. For this, inference about the working of the items should also be known. Do the items actually discriminate between the extraversion and introversion attitudes? Is there an equivalence of the parts (items) in our questionnaire? To explain these things both discriminating indices and internal consistency reliability might be needed. However, it is important to note that these informations on sample statistic, probably, do not intend to satisfy the dubious character of the problem. The experiment is essentially designed to know the distributions of the scores which eventually determine the discriminating indices and internal consistency reliability rather than the opposite is true. In other words, the main drawback in mental testing science has been to make inferences about the attributes of persons on a frame of reference of their own performances.

It has already been indicated in the previous chapters that the items of extraversion and introversion are presented as

simple stimulus situations just like as in an orthodox experiment in which the investigator is interested in observing the realitions of the persons to understand the psychological processes. These stimulus situations might be presented with the help of tachisto-scope or by some other device. In such a situation the necessity of test validity and standardisation does not reason much to the mind of the writer. Whenever, anything (like, item analysis, validity, reliability, standardisation, etc.) is established on the trend of performances being done, it does not seem justified and convincing so far to utilise such established facets again for the interpretations of the same performances in a feedback manner.

In comprehension of the functional relationships of extraversive and introversive tendencies respectively with verbal and mathematical abilities, the product moment correlation coefficient seems a good indicator, However, a possibility of such differences may be expected between the extreme cases. This suggests the investigator to know the standard errors of difference in mean performances of these extreme groups. It does also seem necessary to see, at every step, the significance of obtained statistic.

RESULTS

The scores for 104 individuals on Extraversion-Introversion scale are indicated in table I (Appendix) along with their

·		

frequency distributions. The mean score value is 14 with a standard deviation of 5.57. An estimate of the standard error of mean by formula $O_{\rm M} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N-1}}$ (see 1, p.185) comes to 0.55 and for standard deviation by formula $O_{\rm M} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N-1}}$ (Ibid., p.174) it comes to 0.247.

The standrard error of Mana calculated by the formula

t =
$$\int_{N \setminus D^2 - (\sum D)^2}^{D}$$
 (see 2, p. 155).

where D is the difference between extraversion score and introversion score for a single individual and N is the number of individuals in the sample (104 in our case) — which comes to 2,87. The percentile value of student's t distribution for nei degrees of freedom (105 in our case) indicate this value significant at both 5% and 1% levels.

(For rei20 the 1% and 5% values are respectively 2,82 and 1,98, and for re60 these are 2,66 and 2,00 — see 2, Table IX, p,465).

To test the goodness of fit the expected frequencies for a normal distribution were estimated by obtaining standard scores by formula ______, getting the appropriate ordinates (5, Table II,pAA) and deriving expected frequencies by formula for (______)y, (1,p.122).

^{1.} J.P. Guilford. Fundamental Statistics in perebology and adjuntion. New York; McGraw-Hill Book Go. Inc., 1956

^{2.} H.M. Welker, & Joseph Licht. Statistical inference. New Yorks Henry Holt and Go., 1958.

^{5.} R.A. Fisher, & F. Tetes. Statistical tables for biological, agricultural and medical research. Ediaburgh; Oliver and Boyd Ltd., 1965.

The X^2 was calculated by formula $\frac{160-16}{16}$ which comes to 20,411. The percentile values of the chi-square distribution for 19 degrees of freedom are 52.9 and 58.6 respectively at 5% and 1% levels. The obtained value is insignificant and the Ho is accepted. (see Appendix Table II).

Another test used for goodness of fit was the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (one sample Non-parametric) Test. The cumulative proportions for expected and observed frequencies were calculated and the absolute differences (D) between the ogives for fg and fo were obtained (see Appendix Table III). The largest D equals .0255 which is insignificant both at 5% level and 1% level for which values are respectively .155 and .16 being calculated from the formula given in Table E, Siegel (4.p.251).

The item-enalysis was performed according to the instructions of Davis (5) with the help of the known preferences of 104 boys for extraversion and introversion statements. The percentage successes on extraversion statements of upper and lower 27% groups, (27 and 28 individuals in the respective group in our sample of 104 students; the upper group consists of those who secured 17 or more marks on extraversion scale and the lower group consists of those who secured 12 or below) indicate the discrimination index on the chart provided by Davis which are presented in the last columnof table IV (Appendix).

^{4.} Sidney Siegel. Nonperemetric statistics for the behavioural sciences. New York : McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1956.

^{5.} F.B. Davis. <u>Item-sualysis data: their computation</u>, <u>interpretation</u> and use in test construction. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Graduate School of Education, Harvard University: 1949.

In the upper 27% group a : number of 27 students automatically had come above or on a score of 17, while in the lower 27% group the number of students was completed by random selections of 5 students out of 10 who had a score of 12.

The equivalence of items, for the purpose of seeing "internal consistency" of the test, has been confirmed by the Ruder-Richardson Formula 20 (see 6, p. 380):

$$x_{th} = (\frac{x}{x-1}) (\frac{\sigma_t^2 - \sum_{pq}}{\sigma_{tp}^2})$$

where n is the number of items in the test (30 in our case), p is the proportion of responses for introversion and q= 1-p is the proportion of responses for extraversion. The reliability coefficient comes to 0.52 (see Appendix Table V).

The product-mement correlation coefficients between extraversion scores and scores on the tests of Methematical Ability, Analogy, and Word Meaning were found respectively .0765, .0656 and .1772; all were found insignificant when these were estimated by the formula

which has a student's distribution with N-2 degrees of freedom, where r is the correlation coefficient and N is the number of individuals, (t's are 0.56, 0.56 and 1.62 respectively). The percentile values of

^{6.} J.P. Guilford, Psychometric methods, New York : McGrew-Hill Book Go., Inc., 1954.

t for n=60 and n=120 are 2.00 and 1.98 respectively at 5% level of significance. The number of students on which these statistics were drawn were 80 only.

The significance of mean score differences for extreme cases on Extraversion-Introversion Scale were calculated for Mathematical Ability, Analogy, and Word Meaning tests. Those who secred 18 or over on the Extraversion-Introversion Scale belong to extravert group and those secred 11 and below were categorized as introverts. To test the mull hypothesis μ_1 — μ_2 = 0, the formula utilised for t becomes

$$t = \frac{T_1 - T_2}{\sqrt{s^2 \frac{T_1 + N_2}{N_1 N_2}}}$$

which has student's distribution with \$1+\$2-2 degrees of freedom;
where X's are the mean scores for groups in a given test (6.6 and 7.0,
19.56 and 18.2, 50.3 and 27.2, respectively for tests of Mathematical
Ability, An'logy and Word Meaning), N's are numbers of individuals in
respective groups (1A and 16 in our case respectively for extravertand
introver(groups, who scored 18 or above and 11 or below) and a equals

$$\frac{H_1}{\sum_{i} X_{i1} + \sum_{i=1}^{H_2} X_{i2} - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{H_1} X_{i1})^2}{H_1} - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{H_2} X_{i2})^2}{\frac{H_2}{H_2}}}{\sum_{i} X_{i1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{H_1} X_{i1})^2}{\frac{H_2}{H_2}} - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{H_2} X_{i2})^2}{\frac{H_2}{H_2}}$$
 (see 2, p.156)

The results come with the t values of 0,4124, 0.624 and 1.55 ...
respectively for the tests of Mathematical Ability, Analogy, and Word Meaning; all insignificant since the percentile distribution of student's

t with 28 degrees of freedom is 2.05 at 5% level of significance.

DISCUSSION

Although, at the first glance the distribution of extraversion scores (see Appendix Table I and Figure 1) appears somewhat platykurtic which gives a doubt about the normality of distribution and appears to tend towards bimodality, both chi-square and kolmogorov-Smirnov tests of goodness of fit tend more and more to establish the normality of the distribution. These values of chi-square and D are far far away from the values required to be significant and the probabilities of real differences are too low. However, the deviations from the mean or, in other words, the differences between extraversion and introversion scores seem genuin differences as might be in a normal distribution.

The reliability coefficient of .52 (although not too high) indicates the real value of the Extraversion-Introversion Test, since it is notable that no a priori try-out and item analysis has been performed for the construction of this test. If this would have been done not only reliability is increased but, perhaps, the platycurtic appearance at first glance in the distribution is vanished. It is important to note that only 17 items out of 50 are significantly discriminating the individuals for extraversion or introversion tendencies, however, the discrimination indices for these items are not too high and ranging between .20 to .5% (see Appendix Table IV). These results indicate the reason of low reliability and other discrepancies found in the distribution of sources. Although these indices obtained from upper 27% and lower 27% of sample do not give good values, the distributions of items 5, 7, 15, 24,

Table Y) for all 104 subjects are not much disappointing, since their distribution appears much more similar to the distribution of total scores into extraversion and introversion categories. It is, perhaps, due to small sample of 104 individuals that this discrepancy is persisting. However, on other non-discriminating items the "social-desirability" is, probably, playing the main role for inclination of subjects to choose one specific statement of the two in these items.

The criterion measures of mathematical and verbal abilities for introversion and extraversion respectively could not satisfy the presupposed hypothesis. Both, their low correlation coefficients which were found insignificant and subject to chance fluctuations and the standard errors of differences in mean scores between extreme groups on the criterion tests, indicate ne impact of extraversive or introversive tendencies on these abilities. It is notable that, since other factors like age, sex, grade, school etc. were more or less controlled, no consomitant or intervening variable seems to determine the present enterms of results.

CONCLUSIONS

In qualification for the categories given in the Chapter I (p.5), the British psychologists under the leadership of Eysenck can be put under category one. They are propagating for the extraversion—introversion as inherited neurological feature determining the various aspects of human behaviour and as such is a complete dichotomy. As Willett (1, p. 157) states:

The basic conception related introversion/extraversion to a postulated neurological substruct, the particular balance of inhibition/excitation tendencies characterizing the central nervous system of a particular individual. It was suggested that a state in which inhibitory tendencies are apt to predominate characterizes the extravertwhile the obverse characterizes the introvert. Now clearly the most parsimonious corolary to this hypothesis would allow of only one mode of activity for such a substruct.

On the other extreme, psychologists in America either treat this concept a misleading and worthless being invalidated

^{1.} R.A. Willett. Measures of Learning and Conditioning. In H.J. Eysenek's (Ed), Experiments in personality. London: Reutledge & Eegan Paul., 1960, Vol I, p. 187-192.

en the hypothesized criteria or an environmental adaptation, a chracteristic acquired during the life development. As indicated in Chapter II (p.14-15) these psychologists are very much disappointed with the construct and intend to through it out from the domain of psychology. The present study cross-validates the findings of American psychologists in its full. The results are more or less similar to those found by psychologists like Murray, Guilford, Cattell, etc.

The three controversial issues mainly related to the concept of extreversion-introvertion are:

- (1) Whether extraversion introversion seems a worthwhile construct in the field of psychology? Does it actually differentiates the people into two separate categories of introvert and extravert?
- (2) Whether these two tendencies can be put on a single continuous scale; extreme cases of this dimension being extraverts and introverts?
- (5) Whether these tendencies are acquired during one; struggle of life as the adjustment to the environmental forces or seem inherited from generations, probably, gained in consequence to the laws of evolutionary process?

The present study does not validate the concept of extraversionintroversion. A majority of persons have been found more extraverted and more introverted. The frequency distribution of scores has been preved a normal distribution, and house, the hypothesis of bimodality has been

rejected. As such, the complete dichotomous characteristic of this construct appears obscure and fictitious. Hence, to prolong the problem of extravert versus introvert, in the light of this study, seems misleading and harmful. As a dimension of personality, this construct does not seem very useful in the study of psychological phenomens.

The ten emoies of extraversion and introversion, in this study, are measured on a continuous scale. However, the scores being clustered on the middle of scale are in keen contradiction of continuity. Since the scale prepared was itself dichotomous, extraversion and introversion being correlated perfect negatively, there was no chance for the subjects to check more and more statements on both the sides, otherwise, cases of extreme introversion plus extreme extraversion, perhaps, would have been appeared. In can easily be inferred from these results that these two tendencies are, probably, quite independent and exclusive to each other.

introversion both assumptions of inheritance and acquisition of these tendencies seem unconvincing. However, we are more confirmed about unequivocality of non-inheritance to which the unimodality of the distribution suggests. Moreover the process of adjustment to the environment is less tending into the extreme categories than the inborn characters. Nevertheless, it can be suggested that the same vital energy is directed both way, i.e. inwardly and outwardly, which is most clearly apparent in the extreme cases.

Similar to the results of other studies, the construct is not verified on the criterion variables. However, if the theory of acquisition of the dimensions of extraversion and introversion is accepted it is evident that, since the subjects of this study are in the process of development, no decision can be taken on this point. Moreover, immature personalities are much more similar to each other than those which have gone through the complexities of multiple needs and decades of life.

Although it has been keenly tried to make this study as complete as possible there remained many disgrepancies in this study. The inconsistencies of items in their discriminating capacity and in categorical representation should be recovered as far as possible in the future studies of this type. Large sample should be taken from various specific populations of human individuals and repeated replications should be made to confirm the hypothesis. There appears inevitable need of the construction of an extraversion-introversion scale of similar type as was used in the present study. Separate experiments can be performed to take the performances on the scale of extraversion introversion at one time and to see their impact on criterion variables at the other time. The tools measuring the criterion variables must be well constructed with complete item-enalysis, standardisation, and proof of reliability and validity. Various devices should be adopted for experimentation and various souces of error and differences should be recognised in different situations. Precentions are needed for strict administration of tests or experiments and all sources of variable error must be controlled as

far as possible. After only so much work and responsibility our results can significantly be able to verify, understand and predict the controversial issues of the construct of extraversion-introversion.

BUNHARY

Since the publication of Jung's <u>Psychological Types</u> in 1925 the concept of extraversion-introversion has been extended to many directions of basen life. The early researches on this topic resulted in doubting the validity of the construct. However, researches in Escack's laboratory, in the last decade, more and more tried to stablish the construct. A controversy between American and British psychologists is still persisting in this regard.

To tackle the problem in the present study two hypotheses were formulated: (1) If either of the extraversion and introversion clearly predominates in persons the frequency distribution of scores for parallel item extraversion-introversion scale must tend towards bimodality; (2) Motulthstanding the first, if extraversion and introversion are significantly distinguished on the test criterion of verbal and masserical ability the underlying dispositions seem undenbtable.

To gather such an evidence, tests on extraversion-introversion and mathematical ability were constructed and tests of Hindi analogy and word meaning were bornewed from the Department of Psychological Foundations. These four tests were administered on the students of class II at Milton D.A.Y. Hr. Sec. School on two occasions totalling a number of 154 beys in all but only 80 common for all the four tests.

The mean moore found for extraversion comes to 14 with an s.d. of 5.57. The standard error of mean differences between extraversion and introversion scores gives a value of 2.57 which is significant at both 55 and 14 level. The chi-square and Kolmogorov-Smirnove tests

for goodness of fit give the respective values of 20.41 and .02 both insignificant. The item-analysis results show 17 items discriminating significantly and 6 items appear to tend discriminating, however, lacking perhaps due to small sample. The Kuder-Richardson Formula 20 gives a reliability coefficient of .52.

The product mement correlation coefficients between extraversion scores and socres on the tests of mathematical ability, analogy, and word meaning were found respectively .08, .06, .18 all insignificant.

The performance of extreme cases on extraversion-introversion scale for scores on mathematical ability, analogy, and word meaning gives the town use of mean score differences respectively as .41, .62 and 1.55; all insignificant.

The results indicated a normality in distribution of scores and no impact of any tendency of extraversion and introversion respectively on verbal and mathematical abilities. These results suggest to reject the hypotheses made a priori to this study. However, the necessity of more perfect studies and replications in various situations is emphasised.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Amestesi, A. Differential psychology. New York: The Macmillan Co.,
- 2. Anastasi, A. Pavahological testing. New York, The Macmillan Co., 1961.
- 5. Anastasi, A., & Foley, J.P. <u>Differential perchology</u>. New York: The Macmillan Co., 1956.
- 4. Bain, A. The sense and the intellect, London: Parker, 1855.
- 5. Bernraster, R.G. The Imbrigation of Tests of Introversion-Extraversion and Meurotic Tendency, J. soc. Psychol., 1984, 5, 184-201.
- 6. Gerrigan, P.M. Extraversion-Intraversion As a Dimension of Personality: A Reappraisal. <u>Payabel. bull.</u>, 1960, 57, 529-560
- 7. Cettell, R.B. Fersonality and motivations Structure and measurement
 Yonkers, M.Y. : World Book, 1987.
- 8. Collier, R., & Each, M. Introversion-Extraversion: The Goncept and Their Clinical Use.. Amer. J. Psychiat., 1988, 94, 1045-1075.
- Davies, J.L., & Vaughan, D.J., (Transl.). The Republic of Plato.
 New York : Burt, 19-.
- 10. Davis, F.B. Item-analysis data; their computation. interpretation and use in test construction. Combridge, Massachusette: Graduate School of Education, Harvard University, 1949.
- 11. Eysenck, H.J. Dimensions of personality. London: Routledge and Kegam Paml, 1947.
- 12. Rysenck, H.J. The structure of human personality, Lordon; Metheun, 1955.
- 15. Eysenck, H.J. The Inheritance of Extraversion-Introversion. Acta Payebol. Assterdam., 1956, 12, 95-100.
- 14. Especiments in personality. Vol. I. London: Houtledge & Regan Paul, 1980.
- 15. Fisher, R.A., & Nates, F. Statistical tables for biological, agriculmiltural and medical research. Edinburgh; Oliver and Soul Ltd., 1968.
- 16. Fordham, M. Hom developments in analytical paychology, London; Routladge & Kagan Paul, 1957.
- 17. Freeman, F.S. Theory and practice of payabological testing. Her Tork: Holt, Rivehert & Winston, Inc., 1960.

- 16. Freed. S. Osperal introduction to payaho-analysis. New York: Liveright, 1920.
- 19. Gage, N.L., (Ed.). Handbook of research on tosobing. Chicago:
- 20. Gelton, F. Inquiries into human faculty and its development.
 London: Macmillan, 1885.
- 21. Goods, W.J., & Hatt, P.K. thods in social research. New York: NoGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1952.
- 22. Quilford, J.P. Parehometric methods. New York: McGaw-Mill Book Go., Inc.
- 28. Quilford, J.P. Numiemental statistics in psychology and education. New Yorks McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1956.
- PA. Johnson, D.M. Paychology: a problem-solving approach. New York: Harper & Bros., 1961.
- 25. Jung, C.G. <u>Paychological types</u>. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1928.
- 26. Marx, M.H., & Hillix, N.A. Systems and theories in psychology.

 New York: McGraw-Hill Book Go., Inc., 1965.
- 27. Marray, H.A. Explorations in personality. New York: Oxford University Press, 1988.
- 28. O'Comor, M. Becent Seviet paychology. Oxford: Pergemon Press, 1961.
- 29. Pavlov, I.P. (1951-52) Folm. Sobr. soch. (Complete Works), III, Hk 2.
- SO. Ross, W.D., (Ed.). The works of Aristotle. Yol. II. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1915.
- Si. Siegel, S. Monoparametric statistics for the behavioural sciences. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1956.
- 52. Stern, W. Wher Psychologic der Individualien Differensen, Leipzig: Barth, 1900.
- 55. Vernon, P.E. The assessment of psychological qualities by verbal methods. London: H.M. Stationery Office, 1958.
- 54. Walker, H.K., & Lev, J. Statistical inference New York: Henry Holt and Co., 1955.
- 35. Webster, H. Flaming edication research. (Unpublished).
- 36. Williams, R.J. Biochemical individuality; the basis for the constatute phis concept. New York; Wiley, 1956.



TABLE I

Scores of 104 students on Extraversion-Introversion Scale

Secres (I)	Frequencies (f)	(x ²)	(fx)	(£x²)	Calculations
helow below	D		*	75 /	
5	1	25	5	25	Mean # = 14
6	5	59	18	10 8	Chandand Bankahiana
4	0	49	0	0	Standard Deviation:
8	4	64	52	256	$\sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{N \sum_{x} x^2 - (\sum_{x} x)^2}$
9	4	61.	26	824	= 5 _* 57
10	8	100	80	500	Stendard Error of Mean :
11	9	121	99	1089	$Q_{M} = \frac{\sqrt{N-1}}{Q}$
12	12	144	144	1728	-
15	•	189	117	1521	# 0.35
14	11	196	154	21.56	Standard Error of S.D. :
15	18	225	195	2925	0 = /2 N
16	8	256	128	2048	= 0.247
17	11	289	187	5179	
18	4	824	72	1296	
19	4	261	78	1444	
20	Y	400	140	2800	
21	0	441	0	0	
22	1	484	22	484	
25 au	E .	**	14.00		
<u>sboye</u> ∑	1 4 4 1		1455	21663	

TABLE II

Chi-square Calculations for Goodness of Fit

gores	Devia- tions	Standard Scores 2	Ordinate Y	Expected Frequency La	Observed frequen cy f _e		(fo-fo)2	(£0-£0)
	410	-2,801	.0079	0.25	0	- ,25	. 0529	0.250
5	9	-2.521	.0167	0.49	1	.51	.2601	0.581
В	 8	-2.215	+0545	1.00	5	s*00	4.0000	4.000
7	4	-1-901	.0585	1.70	0	-1.70	z.8900	1,700
8	·-6	_1 •681	.0971	2.85	4	1.17	1.3689	0.484
9	- 5	-1.401	.1495	4.55	4	-0.85	,1225	0.028
10	4	-1.120	*215 <u>1</u>	6,21	5	-5.21	40.8041	1,659
11	 5	-0.840	* 2803	8.17	9	0.88	-6889	0.084
12	- 2	-0,560	.5410	9.95	12	2.07	4.2349	0.452
15	1	-Q-280	-5956	1.17	9	-2,17	4.7089	0.422
14	0	0.000	.5989	11.62	11	+0.62	.3844	0.055
15	+1	0.280	.5856	11.17	15	1.05	8.8489	0.500
	. + 2	0.560	.5410	9.95	18	1.95	5,7249	0.575
16	+ 5	0.840	.2805	8,17	11	2.85	8,0089	0.980
17	1	1,120	.2151	6,21	4	.2,21	4.8841	0.787
18	* 4 4	1.401	,1495	4.55	4	-0.8	,1225	0.081
19	+ 5	1.681	.0971	2.85	7	4.2	7 17.5889	6,14
20	+ 6	1,961	.0585	1.70	0	-1.7	2.8900	1,70
21	; + ¶		.0548	1.00	1	O	*0026	0.00
22	: * 8	2,215	461.67	0.49	o	-0.4	9 2401	0.49
25	+ \$	2,521		-		-0-	9 4401	8-4
20		2,521	0,107	-		\(\frac{t}{0} - f		William Co Sulfa
3 × 1	4	19 d.f. (X.05 *	: 52 _* 9	a) -	$\chi^2 = 1$	£,	<u>*</u> = 20.	411

Guantative Proportions for Expected and Observed Frequenci, and the Differences 'D'.

Score	Expected Frequency Proportions	Observed Frequency Propertions	Completive Proportions for f _e	Sumulative Proportions for fo	p
•	.0022	•0000	.0022	.0000	.0022
5	.0046	*009 6	.0068	,00 96	.0028
5	.0091	.0288	.01.50	.0584	-0225
7	.0163	•0000	.0522	.0684.	-0062
8	.0272	*0585	* 05 9 4	.0769	-0175
•	.0420	.0585	-1014	,1154	+0140
Lo	.0598	.0288	.1612	.1442	*0170
LL,	.9788	.0965	.2598	.2307	.00 91
.2	.0955	.1154	,5555	.8461	-0180
LS	.1074	.0865	.4427	.4326	.olo1
L4	.1117	.1058	.8544	.5384	.0160
1.5	.1074	.125 0	*6618	.6654	-0076
l6	2955	.0769	*7578	.7403	.0170
17	.0786	.1058	.8359	.8 46 1	-0102
18	.0598	.0585	.8957	.8846	.OL11
19	.0420	.0585	.9577	.9251	.olas
20	.0272	+0675	. 9649	*9004	.0255*
21	*C4-62	,0000	.0812	*99 04	.0002
22	.0091	.0096	*9903	1,0000	.0097
25	.0046	.0000	.9949	1.0000	.0051

[&]quot; Value at 15 level is als and at 5% level is 155.

TABLE IV

Item Analysis Data for 104 Students on Extraversion Scale

Item	Higher 27%	Sample (ngm27	lower 27% of sample(ng=28)		Die
No.	No. of Passes	Percentage of success	No. of Passes	Percentage of success	tion Index
1	16	50	5	11.	.56
2	21	78	1.2	45	.24
5	15	48	11	39	.06
4	16	59	6	21.	.26
5	20	74	15	54	.15
6	1.5	56	8	11	. 54
7	15	48	6	21.	,19
8	21.	78	17	81.	.18
9	27	100	25	89	*27
10	21	78	18	64	.10
11	18	67	8	29	.24
12	6	22	2	7	.17
18	1.5	56	7	25	.22
14	14	52	6	21	.21
15	19	70	14	50	.15
16	22	81.		29	*26
17	28	56	7	25	.22
18	9	\$5	2	*	.26
19	24	89	1.9	61.	15
20	1.8	67		18	.55
21	1.7	65	8	111	.58
22	17	68	6	21	,28
25	28	96	23	92	,21
24	18	67	1.2	45	*18
25	1.8	67	10	36	,20
26	15	48	*	0	-64
27 28	18 9 11 10	## ## ## ## ##	11 8 8	59 11 28 11	

TABLE T

Extraversion and Introversion Responses and Reliability Coefficient

ten lo.	No. of Fasses on Intro- version	No. of Passes on Extra- version	Proportion of Intro- version Response p	Propertion of Extra- version Response q (1-0)	pq	Calculations
	65	41	0+60	0.40	0.2400	,
2	40	64	0.40	0,60	0.2400	*** (**) (Ot - Zna
5	58	46	0.56	0.44	0.2464	mod Of
	68	56	0.65	0.55	0.2275	= 30 . (5.57 P-6.5526
5	55	71.	0.52	0.68	0.2176	29 (8.57)2
3	60	44	0.58	0.42	0.2456	= 0.52
ř	64	40	0.62	₫. 58	0.2546	
8 ,	25	79	0.24	0.76	0.1824	
Ð	9	95	0*09	0.91	0-0819	
io	31	75	0.50	0.70	0,2100	
11	55	49	0.55	0.47	0.2491	
12	77	27	0.74	0.26	0.1924	
13	62	42	0.60	0.40	0.2400	
14	60	44	0.58	0.42	0.2456	
15	45	61	0.41	0.59	0.2419	
16	54	50	0.52	0.48	0.2496	
17	67	57	0.64	0.56	0.2504	
18	85	21	0.80	0.20	0,1600	
19	15	89	0.14	0.86	0.1204	
20	52	52	0.50	0,50	0.2500	
21	65	59	0.625	0.375	0.2544	
22	51	55	0,50	0.50	0.2500	
25	11	95	0.11	0.89	0.0979	
24	40	64	0.38	0.62	0.2546	
25	54	50	0.52	0.48	042496	
26	85	19	0.82	0.18	0.1476	
27	54	50	0.52	0.48	0.2496	
28	85	19	0.82	0.18	0.1478	
29	68	56	0.65	0.55	0.2275	
80	77	27	0.74	0.26	10*738Y	

जतमुल-विहर्मुल परीचा प्रश्नावली

774	"亲口作了自己在实现的女子的现在分词的现在分词的自己是
पिता का नाम	字
4.4	~-विभाग
विषालय	医心 电复数容量 医甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲
计字符件 计字目节 克里克	化合法语言 计移向系统 医多种性 化多性化 医克里氏 医电阻剂

प्रस्तुतन्ताः श्याम सुन्दर् मिश्र मनोविलान प्रतिष्ठान राष्ट्रीय शैकाणिक बनुसंघान एवं प्रशिकाण परिषद् एच ? माडल टाउन, दिल्ली - ६

हस पत्रिका में ३० प्रश्न हैं ो तुम्हारे व्यक्तित्व की परिचा के लिए बुने गर हैं। यह तुम्हारी बुद्धि परिचा नहीं है और न कोई प्रश्न सही था गलत हैं। प्रत्येक प्रश्न में दो कथन क और स दिए हुए हैं। अपने मन में भली प्रकार सोची, जो कथन तुम्हारे लिए ठीक हो था लागू होता हो उस पर पही (~) का निशान लगा दो। ध्यान रखो जिना सोचे समभे निधान न लगाओ। कापको कोई प्रश्न कोइना नहीं है। यदि तुम्हें निधान बदलना हो तो पहले लगाए निधान को काट (ं) दो कोर प्रश्न के दूसरे भाग में सही (ं) का निधान लगा दो। उदाहरण:

- (१) क. लोगों के द्वारा प्रसंशा की काशा आप भी किसी अच्छे कार्य की प्रेरणा देती है।
- ्ष. कोई अच्छा दार्य करने पर ापको दात्मिक शान्ति मिलती है। उपर्युक्त उदाहरण में ल भाग किसी के बारे में लागु हुदा है अत: उस व्यक्ति ने ल पर सही (- /) का निशान लगाया है।
- (२) म. वापदे जीवन दा मुख्य उद्देश्य वापदे हुदय दी प्रेरणा से निर्मित हुवा है जापदे जीवन दा मुख्य उद्देश्य भौतिक सत्यों दी प्रेरणा स्वरूप निर्मित हुवा है।

उपर्युक्त उदाहरण में पहले दिसी व्यक्ति ने ल पर सही ा निशान लगाया किन्तु उसने उसे बदलना चाटा वयांकि क उस पर अधिक सही उत्तरता है दत: ल ो काट ('') कर फिर से क पर सही (') का निशान लगा दिया। नीचे दिये ३० प्रता में से प्रत्येक प्रश्न में दो कथन क और ल हैं। प्रत्येक प्रश्न में दो में से जो एक कथन तुम्हारे वारे में सहीं हो उस पर सही (') का निशान लगा दो और द्वरों कथन पर जो तुम्हारे चारे में सही हो है, कोई निशान मत लगाओ। ध्यान रखों प्रत्येक प्रश्न में एक ही कथन पर सही का निशान लगाना है। सभी प्रश्नों का उत्तर देना है।

- (१) दिस प्रतार के लेलों में भाग लेने में लापकों हा दिल शानिन्द मिलता है
 - क. जिनमें खूब भाग दौड़ होती है।
 - ल. जिनमें बुद्धि का उपयोग होता है।

- (२) यदि आप सङ्क पर का रहे हों को कास नाक के कर वर्ष के : ता कार का तमाशा, सस्ता विद्रय गा नीलाय, दुर्घटना, दुर्गरे म यहे उस्तादि -धापको
 - क. आकृषित नहीं त्ती।
 - स. आफिषित करती है।
- (३) यदि आपको टरलने जाना हो तो आप ऐसा स्थान पर्यस हो । क. स्कान्त हो ।
 - स, ख़ुव चहल पहल हो ।
- (४) क. मनुष्यों की भीड़ में पुलार कानन्य लेना कालते हुए भारत है। ख. मीड़ में कलार लागा की काल लाता है।
- (५) क. परिस्थिति को यही दुशलता और गाद्धि है । में दुर्व उने न प्रयत्न आप करते हैं।
 - ्ल. परिस्थितियों जो टालने जा प्रभास काम 📆 🤨 🔭
- (६) क. सामाजिक प्रसंसा आपको दुनार्थ की प्रेरणा देवा है। स. समाज बाहे अच्हा दहेवा दुरा, काम वही करते हैं हे अपका हुन कहता है।
- (७) क, धपरिचितां से भी ताप शीम्न ही परिचितां जी भागि पुरु-रिक यहे हैं। ख. अपरिचितां से मिलने में ताफतो हुह फिफर भाइर जोती है।
- (म) क, सफर्की आप मुसाफिर्री रो नातनीत में नुवाहते हैं। ख, सफर्की आप स्विनित्तन अथवा अधान में गुजाहते हैं।
- (६) क. किसी सामाजिक ध्थवा व्यक्तिगत तार्थ है विक के का का का नार कर तो आप बढ़े उत्साह से कींग देते हैं।
 - ल. सामा जिल अथवा व्यक्तिगत कार्या में आगे होना होना होता है।
- १०) व. किसी तमारोह की व्यवस्था में, होई उने या न हो, हाप उत्तार ने लग
 - ल. कियी समारीह की व्यवस्था ना भार ग्रहण करने में नाम कुछ संगोध
- ११) क् यदि कोई सामाजिक कार्य कर्णा ध्या उत्तरधानकर्णा दुर जान गरी गारे तो आप स्वयं प्रवृत्त होकर निस्सकीय हम है भी जाते वताने राग जाते हैं।
 - स. उपर्युक्त धवस्था में प्रति जानकारी के विना पुक की कराने में राष्ट्री भिभव लाती है।

- १२) क. प्रारंभिक परिशा में भाषको लोग मली अगर नहीं सम्बन्ध पारे किन्तु ज्यों ज्यों तमीप बाते जाते हैं भाषका उनका सम्बन्ध गाढ़ा होता जाता है।
 - स. प्रारंभिक परिणय में धापरो लोगं बहुत धिक प्रभावित होते हैं किन्तु सम्बन्ध बढ़ने पर न जाने वर्धों वह बात नहीं रहती।
- १३) क. किसी बात को बाद रखने के लिए असर आग उसे किसी बन्च वात से, जो धार्यको मली प्रकार बाद होती है, सम्बन्धित धर लेते हैं।
 - ख. ज्य तर किसी दात पर दाप चिन्तनं-गनन नहीं कर होते तय तंक वह आपरो भली प्रकार याच नहीं रहती भ के किस किस
- १४) क. वापका निन्तन कारीहर में परिणित करने के लिए होता है।
 - ख. जल्पना जरने की कापको आर्थत हो गई है। F
- १५) ज. जार्य अथवा चिन्तन में जाप इतने दत्तनित्त हो लाते हैं कि आपको अपने नारों और की अवस्था का कोई भी ध्यान नहीं रहता।
 - स. कार्य पथवा निन्तन के शमय भी जाप इतने सका रहते हैं कि तनिक जा व्यवधान भी जापका धान जाकिषात कर लेता है।
- १६) क. जाप अपने रिद्धान्त के विरोध में न कुछ युक्ता चाहते हैं और न देखना उस पर तर्क करना भी कभी कभी जाप पसन्द नहीं करते।
 - ख. अपने विनारों को प्रभावशील बनाने के प्रति आफों एक कायरता की रहती है क्यों कि उनकी सफलता के प्रति आप में एक शंका की वनी रहती है।
- १७) क. भाषामा चिन्तन प्राय: ब्रायकी नानकारी पर बाधारित रहता है। कोई गौलिक विचार, जिन्हें दारे में भाषाने जाना पुना में हो, हाफो पन हैं नहीं जाते।
 - स. रिद्धांत धापमें पहले तनते हैं फिर धाप उनके लिए प्रगाण सोजते हैं न कि प्रमाणों के मिलने पर रिद्धान्त बनाने की पेष्टा करें।
- १८) क. शिष्टाचार-प्रदर्शन को बाप सम्यता का एक कंग समकते हैं।
- स. हुदय की राच्या है भी शिष्टाचार की अपेकार आप अधिक महत्व देते हैं। १६)क-आपका विरोध यां आलोचना होने पर भी आप वैये नहीं सोते और परिस्थिति को समालने का प्रयत्न करते रहते हैं।

स-उपित बालोयना पर भी बाप प्राव: दुं विष हो जाते हैं।

- २०) क, सहानुमूर्ति धाप शब्दों और व्यवहारी दोनों में व्यक्त करते हैं।
 - स. यथापि तहानुभूति आपके हुदा गैं होती है। किन्तु व्यवहार् में आप उते व्यक्त नहीं कर्पाते।

- २१) कं धाप प्रशंशा ध्रा लिए काले हैं हैं। जा ती ट्रॉडिट केंट कि की उस जाह
 - ल. व्यर्थ की प्रतशा दे जाकी तकित दीभ दर्क हैं।
- २२) क. **सो**न्दर्य निरचय शासे के लिए शास्त्री धंग-प्रतार है। पड़ता है।
 - ख. बहुत बार् अलग अलग अंगों भें भी क्षेत्रका अ कार के के के म्यूणां वस्तु में हुदय को बर्वस सीए लेने की भारता को कि के ।
- २३) ज. **अपने** किसी मित्र को जोई वहा दु:छ को है है। स. उपद्युक्त व्यस्था में बाच तुप सौर्य मुनीर को लोके हैं।
- २४) क. आपकी जिन्तुना तभी वभी अवनी गारी मो विशेष करायारण धनुभव होते हैं।
 - स. कमी क्मी साधारण घटनाओं ों भी दिं। १४५ व्यक्त होट ै जाप देसते हैं।
- २५) ल. नर्ष फैलन की बालोचना बाक प्रातः अधि द्वारे हैं।
 - य. फैशन पर कोई विदार करने के प्रचि भाग भाग है कि है।
- २६) क, किसी के पुनंदर देहरे, वस्त्राध्याण करा का का के कि मावित हो जाते हैं।
 - स. बाप व्यक्ति के हुदय को जहानने की विष्टा 🔞 🥻
- २७) के जापका धादर्श बहुत से महान व्यक्तियों है कुला के के का
 - स. बापका पादर्शया तो एक महापुरुष ा ता है है हा है हुए जी
- २८) क. भले ही बाप हंती की वात न नाव तर हों, के अधिक में तो हंता वेसकर बापभी हंतते लगते हैं।
 - स. वात धी विना समके आप बनी मही है है।
- २६) क. जव आपको कोई दुलराता है (फार्क्स है) वे न को अहा लाता है।
 - स. जव जाप दिली का दुलार (प्तार्) कर्न हैं भी जा. के जानन्द जाता है।
- - ल. बाप अपने को दूसरों के दासने स्भी सल्स नहीं कर नहीं।

गणितीय योग्यता परी चा

नाम		Annual Control of the	_
िपता	ला नाम	**************************************	
नद्भा	The last description of the same of	विभाग	M-4
विधार	रुय ——	disconnection in homelooppeans takes to you billing a supplement of your distributions in the second	

प्रस्तुत कर्ता रयाम धुन्दर मिश्र मनोविज्ञान प्रतिष्ठान राष्टीय शैन णिका अनुसंघान एवं प्रशिन्तण परिषद् एव २/३, नाडल टाउन, दिल्ली-६

प्रस्त हैं। जायको सभी प्रस्त करने हैं। प्रत्येक प्रस्त का जवाय हुव सौच साम कर दीजिए। उत्तर निरियत स्थान पर ही लिखिए। पत्रिका के अन्त में लगे हुए जोरे कामजों पर साथ रिफा काम कर सकते हैं।

प्रम न० १ में तुम्हें श्रेणियों के दो पद देश हों के बाद दी हुई दो लेकियों पर लियने हैं। प्रम न० २,३ और ४ में तारांकित स्थानों पर स्पष्ट रूप से अपने उचर लियते जाइए प्रम न० ५ में प्रत्येक प्रम के बाद उचर के लिए रेसा सिवी है उसी पर अवना उचर लिखिए। प्रम न० ६ और ७ में प्रत्येक प्रम ने कई सम्भावित उचर दिए गए हैं जो उचर बापकों सही लगे उसका बंग्रेजी बड़ा खदा र प्रम के नीचे दी हुई रेसा पर लिखिए।

(१) तुम्हें निम्नलिखित श्रेणियों ो पूरा करना है। ध्यान रही समीकर्णों में वीज (धन्तर्) का मान कोई तंख्या है। प्रत्येक श्रेणी के पदों को ध्यान ते देखों और आगे के दो पद लिखों।

ज. ध्यान दो :

,	प्रराक्रो :	
स.	ध्यान दो :	$\binom{9}{2} = 2048$
t	पूरा करो	= 2048
ग.	ध्यान दो :	म्जित मुज्य मुजनफुल श्रेष p 13 x q r + 5 l p 14 x q r + 7 2 p 16 x q r + 12 l

(२) निम्निजिसित प्रश्नों में तारादित स्थानों को प्रारा ारों। स्थान रखों तारांकित स्थानों पर रसी हुई त्रेंबतर प्रश्न की दृष्टि है महत्वपूर्ण हैं और वे प्रश्न को तार्किक स्था है पूरा कर रही हैं।

(३) निम्नलिसित प्रश्नों में तारांकित स्थानों पर कोई मिणित न चिन्छ होना चालिए था। प्रश्न कों ध्यान रसते हुए उचित चिन्छ दताको ।

$$\frac{7*6}{3}*3=13$$

$$\overline{q}$$
. $\frac{(p*q) * (r*s)}{p} = 1 * s^2$

$$\P$$
, $\{(2p * P) -3 * q + r)\} = 3(q * r) - 2$

_	_	
(8)		म्निलिखित श्रेणियों में जहां तारांकित स्थान है उनको क्रम को ध्यान रखते हुए ो :
	<u>π</u> .	0, 1, 4, 9, *, 25, *
	ख्र	3, 2, 6, 4, 9, 6, 12, 2, 15, *, *.
		3, 5, 9, 17, 33, *, 129, *
		1
(Ä)	द्रा	निम्नलिखित रांक्गवां को जोड़ो :
	(ফু	379273
	(च) 3607520003 (টু) 97 <i>6</i> 725976 (জ) 1370२03000 ³⁷
	721	उत्तर
	¢1.	निम्नलिखित का औरात वर्गमूल दो दशमलव बदो तक ज्ञात करी:
		9, 11, 20, 36, 51, 49, 25, 16, 14, 18
		उत्तर
	ग,	निम्नलिखित संख्याओं के दस से धन्तर के वर्ग का दाँसत
		शांत दर्ग :
(钅)	क्	प्रतिनारंगी a गैसा तथा प्रति देला b पैसा के भाव रो
		विवता है। यद एक वाकि c पैरो में 2 नाएंगि और 3 केला
		सरीदता है तो निम्नलिसित में काँन सा संतिकरण उक्त कथन की
		संतुष्ट करता है:
		A. $2a + 3b = c$ B. $3a + 2b = c$ C. $5ab = c$
	th _t	C. 5ab = C D. 6ab = C
		उत्तर
	स.	दिसी त्रिभुज की एक भुजा a का वर्ग त्रिभुज की धन्य भुजाबाँ b, c के गोग
	•	के तीन गुने से पांच अधिक है। निम्नलिखित में से कौन सा समिलरण
		उपाुक्त रहेगा ?
		2
		B. $a + 5 = \sqrt{3(b + c)}$
		C. $a^2 = 3(b+c) + 5$ D. $a^2 = 3bc + 5$
		D_{\bullet} a = 3bc + 5

न. राम और स्वाम की रायुक्त बायु में 17 नवि घटा करने से मान देने पर काने वाले मजनफल के वर्ग का है माग गोधन की भा, े दु ने हा वर्गाल है। यदि राम की आयुp, श्याम की आयुव और फोरन की बायु एवपी क हो, तो लौन सा पुग्रीकरण उपधुक्त होगा :

A.
$$(P + q)^2 - 17 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}r$$

B. $\frac{2}{3}(p - 17 + q)^2 = 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}r$

C. $\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{p + q - 17} = \frac{2r}{3}$

c.
$$\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{p+q-17} = \frac{2r}{3}$$

D.
$$\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{p + q - 17}{3} \right)^2 = \sqrt{2r}$$

$$\frac{(2.30)^2 - (1.20)^2}{2}$$
or $q = \sqrt{r}$ for q

ता प्रत्र उपयोगी रहेगा :

A)
$$(a + b)^2 + (a - b)^2 = 2a^2 + 2b^2$$

B.
$$(a + b)^2 - (a - b)^2 = 4ab^3$$

C.
$$(a + b) (a - b) = a^2 - b^2$$

$$D_{\bullet}$$
 $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2ab$

E.
$$(a - b)^2 = a^2 + B^2 - 2ab$$

स[°]. 4a³− 25a x² के गुणानसण्ड क**्ने** में निम्नलिखित में ै लौन सा स्व मुख्यत: उपयोगी रहेगा।
A. a - b = (a - b) + 3ab (a - b)

A.
$$a^3 - b^3 = (a - b) + 3ab (a - b)$$

B.
$$(a + b)(a - b) = a^2 - b^2$$

C.
$$(a + b)^2 - (a - b)^2 = 4ab$$

C.
$$(a + b) = a^{2} - b$$

C. $(a + b) - (a - b) = 4ab$

D. $a^{3} + b = (a + b) - 3ab (a + b)$

T. $(a + b) = (a + b) - 3ab (a + b)$

E.
$$(a + b)^2 - (a - b)^2 = 2a^2 + 2b^2$$

ग. यदि $x+\frac{1}{x}=2\pi i x+\frac{3}{x}$ 3का गान ज्ञात ार्ने के लिए निम्नलिखित गें है कौन सा प्रत्र उपयोगी होगा ?

A.
$$(a - b)^3 = a^3 - 3ab (a - b) - b^3$$

B.
$$(a - b) (a^2 - b^2) = a^3 + b - ab (a + b)$$

C.
$$(a - b) (a + b) = a^3 - b^3 + ab (a - b)$$

D.
$$a^3 + b^2 = (a + b) - 3ab (a + b)$$

E.
$$(a + b) (a^2 - ab + b^2) = a^3 + b^3$$



National Institute of Education

(NATIONAL COUNCIL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH & TRAINING)

सम्बन्ध-निर्धारण परीक्षण

Test Code:
Student No. 1
M F
A Gr
S H C
MT P
R' W O
LIAk
R T
U L

Name Koll No
Age Boy/Girl (Cross which does not apply)
(Closs which does not apply)
School Section
Mother Tongue
Number of years Hindi has been medium of your instruction
Subjects: Write below your compulsory and optional subjects.
Compulsory Subjects:-
Optional Subjects:
Optional Subjects .—

सम्बन्ध-निर्धारण परीक्षण

क्या करना है:

दिये हुए प्रत्येक वाक्य में तीन झब्द मोटे श्रक्षरों में लिखे हुए है, श्रीर एक स्थान रिक्त है। एक श्रीर के दोनों झब्दों में एक विशेष सम्बन्ध है। प्रत्येक रिक्त स्थान पर सम्बन्धित झब्द लिखो।

रेखा पर एक ही शब्द लिखना है। ध्यान रहे लिखा जाने वाला शब्द केवल उपसर्ग या प्रत्यय लगाकर न

भाग क

उदाहरण

जैसे श्राकाश का सम्बन्ध नीले से है, वैसे ही पत्तों का सम्बन्ध हरे से।

दिये हुए वाक्य में 'ग्राकाश' ग्रौर 'नीले' में यह सम्बन्ध है कि ग्राकाश का रंग नीला है। ऐसा ही सम्बन्ध 'पत्तों' ग्रौर 'हरे' में है। इसलिए छोड़े हुए स्थान पर 'हरे' शब्द लिखा गया है।

٧,	जैसे	खटमल	का सम्ब	न्ध कोड़ा	से,	वैसे ही	शेर	का	राम्बन्ध	***************************************	से	1
₹.	जैसे	मधुमक्खी	का सम्बन	ध शहद	से,	वैसे ही	गाय	का	सम्बन्ध		से	ì
₹.	जैसे	भूठ	का सम्बन	ध सत्य	से,	वैसे ही	पाप	का	सम्बन्ध	****	ें से	ì
٧.	जैसे	नाव	का सम्ब	ध माभी	से,	वैसे ही	हाथी	का	सम्बन्ध	**********	" से	
ሂ.	जैसे	बालक	का सम्ब	न्ध वृद्ध	से,	वैसे ही	नया	का	सम्बन्ध		`' ਜੇ	ŀ
ξ.	जैसे	क्षुधा	का सम्ब	न्ध भोजन	से,	वैसे ही	रोग	का	सम्बन्ध	*********	7	,
9 .	जैसे	पैर	का सम्ब	न्ध पायल	से,	वैसे ही	भ्रँगुली	ा. का	सम्बन्ध	***************************************	3	` 1
5 .	जैसे	जूता	का सम्ब	च मोची	से,	वैसे ही	घडा	का	सम्बन्ध	A the second of	3 ,	1
§ .	जैसे	क	का सम्ब	न्ध ग	से,	वैसे ही	त _.	का	सम्बन्ध		2	`
१०.	जैसे	यन्त्र	का सम्ब	न्ध यान्त्रिक	से,	वैसे ही	सौन्दर्य	का	सम्बन्ध	B	रा से	1

भाग ख

रिक्त स्थान पर सम्बन्धित शब्द लिखो।

उदाहरण

जैसे बीज का सम्बन्ध पौदे से, वैसे ही प्रण्डे का सम्बन्ध पक्षी से। रिक्त स्थान पर 'अण्डे' शब्द ठीक बैठता है।

११.	जैसे	जन्म	का	सम्बन्ध	হাঁহাৰ	से,	वैसे	ही		का	सम्बन्ध	मर्ग	से	ı
१२.	जैसे	उपासक	का	सम्बन्ध	पूजा	से,	वैसे	ही	*************	का	सम्बन्ध	भोख	से	ı
१३	जैसे	श्रविभाजित	া কা	सम्बन्ध	ग्रविभाज्य	ा से,	वैसे	ही	**************************************	का	सम्बन्ध	भ्रज्ञेय	से	1
१४.	जैसे	लिखने	का	सम्बन्ध	पैंसिल	से,	वैसे	ही		का	सम्बन्ध	कुदाल	से	1
१४.	जैस	पल	का	सम्बन्ध	घड़ी	से,	वैसे	ही		का	सम्बन्ध	माशा	से	1
१६.	जैसे	सोचता	का	सम्बन्ध	सोचते	से,	वैसे	ही	,	का	सम्बन्ध	काले	से	ì
१७.	जैसे	सूर्योदय	का	सम्बन्ध	दिन	से,	वैसे	ही	************	का	सम्बन्ध	रात्रि	से	ì
१५.	जैसे	तू	का	सम्बन्ध	तेरा	से,	वैसे	ही		का	सम्बन्ध	उनका	से	į
38	जैस	गाड़ी	का	सम्बन्ध	पहिया	से,	वैसे	ही	V****************	का	सम्बन्ध	हाथ	से	ŧ
२०.	जैसे	सुन्दर	का	सम्बन्ध	सुन्दरी	से,	वैसे	ही	***************************************	का	सम्बन्ध	बैल	से	1
२१.	जैस	शब्द	का	सम्बन्ध	शाब्दिक	से,	वैसे	ही	reinanithine	का	सम्बन्ध	स्वरिएम	से	ı
२२.	जैसे	फल	का	सम्बन्ध	ग्राम	से,	वैसे	ही		का	सम्बन्ध	गुला ब	से	ı

भाग ग

रिक्त स्थान पर सम्बन्धित शब्द लिखो।

उदाहरण

जैसे किव का सम्बन्ध किवता से, वैसे ही शिल्पी का सम्बन्ध प्रतिमा से। छोड़े हुए स्थान पर किवता शब्द उपयुक्त है।

२३. जैसे बिछया का सम्बन्ध प्राप्ता से, वैसे ही पौधा का सम्बन्ध पेड़ से। २४. जैसे सन्ध्या का सम्बन्ध प्राप्ता से, वैसे ही उषा का सम्बन्ध पूर्व से।

२५.	जैसे	ग्रधिक	का	सम्बन्ध	से,	वैसे	ही	निकट	का	सम्बन्ध	निकटता	से	١
२६.	जैसे	उत्तम	का	सम्बन्ध	से,	वैसे	ही	प्रिय	का	सम्बन्ध	सर्वप्रिय	से	l
२७.	जैसे	नीम	का	सम्बन्ध	से,	वैसे	ही	गधा	का	सम्बन्ध	पशु	से	ì
२८.	जैसे	चीता	का	सम्बन्धः प्राप्ताप्ताप्ता	से,	वैसे	ही	बकरी	का	सम्बन्ध	शाकाहारी	से	1
२१.	जैसे	मछली	का	सम्बन्ध	₹,	वैसे	ही	मृग	का	सम्बन्ध	भूचर	से	i
₹0.	जैसे	सुन्दर	का	सम्बन्ध	से,	वैसे	ही	उच्च	का	सम्बन्ध	उच्चतम	से	l

भाग घ

रिक्त स्थान पर सम्बन्धित शब्द लिखो।

उदाहरण

जैसे <u>ठोस</u> का सम्बन्ध तरल से, वैसे ही ख़ुला हुन्ना का सम्बन्ध बन्द से। रिक्त स्थान पर ठोस शब्द उपयुक्त है।

₹१.	जैसे		का	सम्बन्ध	कनिष्ठ	से,	वैसे	ही	मोटा	का	सम्बन्ध	दुबला	से।
३ २.	जैसे	*****	का	सम्बन्ध	হান্ত	से,	वैसे	ही	मूक	का	सम्बन्ध	वाचाल	से ।
३३ .	जैसे	***************************************	का	सम्बन्ध	हानि	से,	वं से	ही	श्राय	का	सम्बन्ध	व्यय	से ।
₹ ¥.	जैसे	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	का	सम्बन्ध	दोष	से,	वैसे	ही	निन्दा	का	सम्बन्ध	स्तुति	से।
३६.	जैसे	1 [का	सम्बन्ध	महिला	से,	वैसे	ही	धनी	का	सम्बन्ध	दरिद्र	से ।
३८.	जैसे	************	का	सम्बन्ध	घृगा	से,	वैसे	ही	नीचता	का	सम्बन्ध	उदारता	से।
		Same Same											
		,											

Test Preparation— SPA/RKG, RNM, JPG, NVR/NNS



National Institute of Education

(NATIONAL COUNCIL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH & TRAINING)

शब्दार्थ ज्ञान परीक्षण

Test Code:
Student No.:
M F
A Gr
S H C
MT P
R' W O
LIAk
R T
U L

•••••

.,
•••••

• . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

शब्दार्थ ज्ञान परीक्षण

क्या करना है

मोटे ग्रक्षरों में बाई ग्रोर कुछ शब्द दिए गए हैं। श्रौर प्रत्येक के दाई ग्रोर पाँच शब्द दिये हुए हैं, उनमें से उस शब्द (मोटे श्रक्षरों में लिखित) का ग्रर्थ चुनकर उसे रेखांकित कीजिए।

उदाहरण

वृक्ष फूल चिड़िया फल पेड़ छाया

इस उदाहररा में 'वृक्ष' शब्द का श्रर्थ 'पेड़' है जिसे रेखांकित किया गया है।

8	ध्वनि	হাত্ত্ব	संगीत	धूनी	ताल	त्रंग	ę
२	विदेही	जिसके ऋंग टूटे हों	ग्रसुर	∗पिशाच	विरही	जो शरीर रहित हो	२
ş	सहज	सरल	ग्रच्छा	साफ	ठीक	समान	7
ጸ	समिति	सम्मति	सहयोग	मेला	सभा	दल	४
¥	परिवर्तन	ग्रागे पीछे	श्राने वाला समय	ग्रदल-बदल	चक्कर	परिभ्रमण	ሂ
Ę	पुनीत	महान	निर्मल	पवित्र	पूज्य	शुभ	६
૭	जत्था	गटठा	गोष्ठी	भूण्ड	नक्षा	सभा	૭
5	ज्योति	सूर्यं	चमक	प्रकाश	दीपक	चाँदनी	5
3	प्रेत	ग्रात्मा	राक्षस	शत्रु	भूत	भय	3
१०	हिंसा	घृणा	बध	ईर्षा	निन्दा	क्रोध	१०

सराहना	सहारा देना	उत्साह देना	धन्यवाद देना	प्रसन्न करना	प्रशंसा करना	११
श्रायु	जन्म दिन	उम्र	युवक	काल	बढ़ाव	१२
उत्पादन	पैदा करना	खोदना	जमना	खेती करना	बोना	१३
उपयुक्त	भान्य ं	बुद्धिमान	उत्तीर्ण	योग्य	उन्नत	१४
उपासना	उपवास	प्रसाद	पूजा	भ्रभ्यास	सदाचरण	१५
मुग्ध	चकित	मोहित	प्रशंसित	सम्मानित	म्ग्न	१६
यत्न	सफलता	उपार्जन	उपाय	लाभ	গাঁ च	१७
श्रावेदन	पुकार	प्रार्थना	पूछताछ	भक्ति	म्रनुग्रह	१८
प्रविष्ट	केन्द्रित	बैठा हुम्रा	निमन्त्रित	भ्रन्तर्गत	ग्रन्दर गया हुग्ना	38
निष्ठा	श्रद्धा	साधुता	ध्यान	नीति	श्राचार	२०
शंका	भ्रम	सन्देह	भय	शोक	कष्ट	२१
विस्तृत	विकसित	उठा हुम्रा	फैला हुआ	बिखरा हुग्रा	भरा हुम्रा	२२
व्यय	खर्च	हानि	खरीदना	धन	नाश	२३
निहारना	ढूंढना	स्पर्श करना	दृष्टि फेरना	दिखलाना	निरखना	२४
संगति	मित्रता	उन्नति	मिश्रित	साथ	सन्धि	२५
हित	नीति	मित्रता	उपदेश	शिक्षा	कल्याण	२६
सुवास	गुलाब जल	ग्रच्छा घर	सुगन्ध	शोभा	ग्रन्छा वेश	२७
घरा	धैर्थ	धारा	धारण	मिट्टी	<u>पृथ्वी</u>	२८
नृ गा	घास	काँटा	भूसा	तिनका	थोड़ा	२६
विद्यालय	भ्रालय	कार्यालय ,	शिक्षालय	पुस्तकालय	विश्रामघर	३०
	श्रायु उत्पादन उपयुक्त उपासना मुग्ध यत्न श्रावेदन प्रविष्ट निष्ठा शंका विस्तृत व्यय निहारना संगति हित सुवास	प्रायु जन्म दिन उत्पादन पैदा करना उपयुक्त मान्य उपासना उपवास मुग्ध चिकत यत्न सफलता प्रावेदन पुकार प्रविष्ट केन्द्रित निष्ठा श्रद्धा श्रंका श्रम विस्तृत विकसित वयय खर्च निहारना ढूंढना संगति मित्रता हित नीति सुवास गुलाब जल घरा धर्य वास	प्रायु जन्म दिन उम्र उत्पादन पैदा करना खोदना उपयुक्त मान्य बुद्धिमान उपासना उपवास प्रसाद मुग्ध चिकत मोहित यत्न सफलता उपाजंन प्रावेदन पुकार प्रार्थना प्रविष्ट केन्द्रित बैठा हुम्रा निष्ठा श्रद्धा साधुता श्रंका श्रम सन्देह विस्तृत विकसित उठा हुम्रा वय खर्च हानि निहारना ढूंढना स्पर्श करना संगति मित्रता उन्नति हित नीति मित्रता सुवास गुलाब जल ग्रच्छा घर धरा धेर्य धारा नृए। घास काँटा विद्यालय ग्रालय कार्यालय	प्राप्तु जन्म दिन उम्र युवक उत्पादन पैदा करना लोदना जमना उपयुक्त मान्य बुद्धिमान उत्तीर्ण उपासना उपवास प्रसाद पूजा मुग्ध चिकत मोहित प्रश्नंसित यत्न सफलता उपाजन उपाय ग्रावेदन पुकार प्रार्थना पुछताछ प्रविष्ट केन्द्रित बैठा हुम्रा निमन्त्रित किरुटा श्रद्धा साधुता ध्यान श्रंका भ्रम सन्देह मय विस्तृत विकसित उठा हुम्रा फैला हुम्रा विस्तृत विकसित उठा हुम्रा फैला हुम्रा निहारना ढूंढना स्पर्श करना दृष्टि फेरना संगति मित्रता उन्तित मिश्रत स्वास गुलाब जल ग्रच्छा घर सुगन्ध घरा धेर्य धारा धारण नृगा घास काँटा भूसा विद्यालय ग्रालय कार्यालय	प्राप्तु जन्म दिन उम्र युवक काल उत्पादन पैदा करना लोदना जमना लेती करना जपत्रुक्त मान्य बुद्धिमान उत्तीर्ण योग्य उपासना उपवास प्रसाद पूजा प्रभ्यास मुग्ध चिकत मोहित प्रश्नंसित सम्मानित यस्न सफलता उपार्जन उपाय लाभ प्रावेदन पुकार प्रार्थना प्रस्रवा प्रजा भिति प्रति प्रति प्रावेदन वेटा हुम्रा निमन्त्रित प्रन्तर्गत निष्टा भ्रम सन्देह भय शोक विस्तृत विकसित उटा हुम्रा फैला हुम्रा विखरा हुम्रा विद्या सम्पत्ति सम्मानित प्रावेदन प्रवांदि प्राप्त नीति विस्तृत विकसित उटा हुम्रा फैला हुम्रा विखरा हुम्रा विस्तृत सम्पत्ति सम्पत्ति सम्पत्ति सम्पत्ति सम्पत्ति विस्तृत विकसित उटा हुम्रा फैला हुम्रा विखरा हुम्रा निहारना ढूंढना स्पर्श करना दृष्टि फेरना दिखलाना संगिति मित्रता उन्तिति मित्रता उपदेश शिक्षा मुनास युनास युनास जल प्रच्छा घर सुगन्ध शोमा घरा मिट्टी तृष्टा घ्रास काँटा भूसा तिनुका दिखलानय प्रस्तकालय	प्राप्तु जन्म दिन उम्र युवक काल बढ़ाव उत्पादन पैदा करना लोदना जमना लेती करना बोना उपयुक्त मान्य बृद्धिमान उत्तीर्ण योग्य उन्नत उपयुक्त मान्य बृद्धिमान उत्तीर्ण योग्य उन्नत उपयुक्त मान्य बृद्धिमान उत्तीर्ण योग्य उन्नत उपयुक्त मान्य बृद्धिमान उपवास प्रशा प्रभ्यास सदाचरण मुग्ध चिकत मोहित प्रश्नंसित सम्मानित मग्न जौच सम्भानित प्रश्नं प्रथा लाभ जौच प्रयावन पुकार प्रार्थना पुछताछ भक्ति श्रमुणह प्रविद्ध केन्द्रित बैठा हुम्रा निमन्त्रित सन्तर्गत श्रम्दर गया हुम्मा निष्ठा स्रवा साधुता ध्यान नीति श्राचार श्रेका भ्रम सन्देह भय शोक कष्ट विस्तृत विकसित उठा हुम्रा फैला हुम्मा विखरा हुम्मा भरा हुम्मा विस्तृत साथ खर्च हानि खरीदना धन नाश निर्द्धा सगदा स्पर्द करना दृष्टि फेरना दिखलाना निरद्धना संगति मित्रता उन्नति मित्रता उपदेश शिक्षा कल्याण सुवास गुलाब जल श्रच्छा घर सुगन्ध शोभा श्रच्छा वेश घरा ध्रीय धारा धारण मिट्टी पुथ्वी सुगा ध्रास काँटा भूसा वितृका ध्रीड़ा प्रथा विद्यालय श्रास्य काँटा भूसा वितृका ध्रीड़ा प्रथा विद्यालय श्रास्य काँटा भूसा वितृका ध्रीड़ा प्रथा विद्यालय श्रास्य कार्यालय कार्यालय विश्वालय प्रदेशकालय विश्वामघर

Test I	reparation Educat		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(P) I-12-64—1	
Хo	प्रसून	पृत्ती	शा खा	फूल	फल	जड़ जड़	४०्
38	शिला	ईट	मिट्टी	स्तम्भ	पर्वत	पत्थर	38
४५	समारोह	समूह	शोभा	उत्सव	प्रदर्शिनी	सभा	४५
४७	पताका	रस्सी	भण्डा	पतंग	पाल	पत्ती	४७
४६	उपचार	चिकित्सा	बीमारी	भलाई	सदाचार	लाभ	४६
४४	प्रनुपलब्ध	श्रदृश्य	ग्रमूल्य	श्रनुपस्थित	ग्रप्राप्य	श्रारम्भ	γχ
ጸጸ	प्रकृति	इच्छा	दृरय	स्वभाव	संसार	सरलता	४४
४३	मीन	नंछवा	मेंढक	ग्रांख	जलहस्ति	मछली	४३
	भ्रंबुद	बादल	सागर	सरोवर	नदी	वर्षा	४२
४१	समीर	बादल	म्राकाश	हवा	बिजली	श्राग	४१
४०	विहग	पतंग	हिरन	साँप	पतंगा	पक्षी	४०
38	कर्कट	कछवा	ततैया	मकड़ी	विच्छू	केकड़ा	38
३८	पथिक	साथी	सारथी	यात्री	भिखारी	मजदूर	३८
. ३७ -	् <u>ञोिएत</u>	मांस	हड्डी	दाँत	केश	रक्त	३७
३६	श्रनुसरग	पीछे चलना	श्रनुमति देना	स्वागत करना	समर्थन करना	माँगना	३६
३४	कपि	फल	कीड़ा	<u>बन्दर</u>	कु त्ता •	कब् तर	३५
३४	ब ड्ग	तलवार	ढाल	धनुष	तीर	बर्छी	₹ ४
३३	काक	मोर	बटेर	कब <u>ू</u> तर	कोयल	कीम्रा	३३
३२	निर्मित	बनाया हुम्रा	दिया हुम्रा	पालित	जुड़ा हुग्रा	निकला हुग्रा	३२
38	কৃষি	कारीगरी	मजदूरी	व्यापार	खेत <u>ी</u>	महाजनी	38

approximation Education
approximation of Education
approximation of Education
Y & DOCUMENTATION
Unit (N.C.E.R.T.)

3995

(P) I-12-64---10,000

नवीन प्रेंस, दिल्ली,